

Chloride-Induced Stress Corrosion Cracking Tests and Example Aging Management Program

Darrell S. Dunn

NRC/NMSS/SFST

Public Meeting with Nuclear Energy Institute on
Chloride Induced Stress Corrosion Cracking
Regulatory Issue Resolution Protocol

August 5, 2014

Power Plant Operating Experience with SCC of Stainless Steels

Plant	Distance to water, m	Body of water	Material/Component	Thickness, or crack depth, mm	Time in Service, years	Est. Crack growth rate, m/s	Est. Crack growth rate, mm/yr
Koeberg	100	South Atlantic	304L/RWST	5.0 to 15.5	17	9.3×10^{-12} to 2.9×10^{-11}	0.29 to 0.91
Ohi	200	Wakasa Bay, Sea of Japan	304L/RWST	1.5 to 7.5	30	5.5×10^{-12} to 7.9×10^{-12}	0.17 to 0.25
St Lucie	800	Atlantic	304/RWST pipe	6.2	16	1.2×10^{-11}	0.39
Turkey Point	400	Biscayne Bay, Atlantic	304/pipe	3.7	33	3.6×10^{-12}	0.11
San Onofre	150	Pacific Ocean	304/pipe	3.4 to 6.2	25	4.3×10^{-12} to 7.8×10^{-12}	0.14 to 0.25

- CISCC growth rates of 0.11 to 0.91 mm/yr for components in service
 - Median rate of 9.6×10^{-12} m/s (0.30 mm/yr) reported by Kosaki (2008)
- Activation energy for CISCC propagation needs to be considered
 - 5.6 to 9.4 kcal/mol (23 to 39 kJ/mol) reported by Hayashibara et al. (2008)