Civilian Nuclear Waste Disposal

Mark Holt
Specialist in Energy Policy

April 24, 2015
Summary

Management of civilian radioactive waste has posed difficult issues for Congress since the
beginning of the nuclear power industry in the 1950s. Federal policy is based on the premise that
nuclear waste can be disposed of safely, but proposed storage and disposal facilities have
frequently been challenged on safety, health, and environmental grounds. Although civilian
radioactive waste encompasses a wide range of materials, most of the current debate focuses on
highly radioactive spent fuel from nuclear power plants. The United States currently has no
disposal facility for spent nuclear fuel.

The Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (NWPA) calls for disposal of spent nuclear fuel in a deep
geologic repository. NWPA established the Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
(OCRWM) in the Department of Energy (DOE) to develop such a repository, which would be
licensed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). Amendments to NWPA in 1987
restricted DOE’s repository site studies to Yucca Mountain in Nevada. DOE submitted a license
application for the proposed Yucca Mountain repository to NRC on June 3, 2008. The state of
Nevada strongly opposes the Yucca Mountain project, citing excessive water infiltration,
earthquakes, volcanoes, human intrusion, and other technical issues.

The Obama Administration “has determined that developing the Yucca Mountain repository is not
a workable option and the Nation needs a different solution for nuclear waste disposal,”
according to the DOE FY2011 budget justification. As a result, no funding for Yucca Mountain,
OCRWM, or NRC licensing was requested or provided for FY2011 or subsequent years. NRC
halted further consideration of the license application in 2011 because of “budgetary limitations,”
but a federal appeals court on August 13, 2013, ordered NRC to continue the licensing process
with previously appropriated funds. NRC completed its safety evaluation report on Yucca
Mountain on January 29, 2015.

After halting the Yucca Mountain project, the Administration established the Blue Ribbon
Commission on America’s Nuclear Future to develop an alternative nuclear waste policy. The
commission issued its final report on January 26, 2012, recommending that a new, “single-
purpose organization” be given the authority and resources to promptly begin developing one or
more nuclear waste repositories and consolidated storage facilities. The commission
recommended a “consent based” process for siting nuclear waste storage and disposal facilities
and that long-term research, development, and demonstration be conducted on technologies that
could provide waste disposal benefits.

After OCRWM was dismantled, responsibility for implementing the Administration’s nuclear
waste policy was given to DOE’s Office of Nuclear Energy (NE). In January 2013, NE issued a
nuclear waste strategy based on the Blue Ribbon Commission recommendations. The strategy
calls for a pilot interim storage facility for spent fuel from closed nuclear reactors to open by
2021 and a larger storage facility, possibly at the same site, to open by 2025. A site for a
permanent underground waste repository would be selected by 2026, and the repository would
open by 2048. DOE requested $30 million for FY2016 to develop an integrated waste
management system as outlined by the new waste strategy—up from $22.5 million provided for
FY2015. The House Appropriations Committee on April 22, 2015, approved $175 million for
DOE and NRC to continue the Yucca Mountain licensing process and provided no funding for
DOE’s integrated waste strategy (H.R. 2028, H.Rept. 114-91).
with annual dose minimization targets for the first 10,000 years. NRC’s current low-level waste regulations were adopted in 1982.

Concluding Discussion

Disposal of radioactive waste will be a key issue in the continuing nuclear power debate. Without a national disposal system, spent fuel from nuclear power plants must be stored on-site indefinitely. This situation may raise public concern near proposed reactor sites, particularly at sites without existing reactors where spent nuclear fuel is already stored. Concern about spent fuel storage safety has been heightened by the March 2011 disaster at Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi nuclear plant.

Under current law, the federal government’s nuclear waste disposal policy is focused on the Yucca Mountain site. However, President Obama’s moves to terminate the Yucca Mountain project and develop a new waste strategy through the Blue Ribbon Commission on America’s Nuclear Future have brought most activities in the DOE waste program to a halt. Congress is continuing to debate the project’s termination, particularly through the appropriations process. The NRC staff’s finding in October 2014 that the Yucca Mountain would meet NRC standards after the repository was filled and sealed has intensified criticism of the Administration’s nuclear waste policy.

Because of their waste-disposal contracts with DOE, owners of existing reactors are likely to continue seeking damages from the federal government if disposal delays continue. For example, DOE’s 2004 settlement with the nation’s largest nuclear operator, Exelon, could require payments of up to $600 million from the federal judgment fund. DOE estimates that payments could rise above $20 billion if the federal government cannot begin taking waste from reactor sites before 2020, as previously planned. The nuclear industry has predicted that future damages could rise by tens of billions of dollars if the federal disposal program fails altogether.

Lack of a nuclear waste disposal system could also affect the licensing of proposed new nuclear plants, both because of NRC licensing guidelines and various state laws. In addition, further repository delays could force DOE to miss compliance deadlines for defense waste disposal.

Problems being created by nuclear waste disposal delays were addressed by the Blue Ribbon Commission in its final report, issued in January 2012. Major options include centralized interim storage, continued storage at existing nuclear sites, reprocessing and waste treatment technology, development of alternative repository sites, or a combination. The commission recommended that a congressionally chartered corporation be established to undertake a negotiated process for siting new waste storage and disposal facilities. However, given the delays resulting from the ongoing shutdown of the nuclear waste program, longer on-site storage is almost a certainty under any option. Any of the options would also face intense controversy, especially among states and regions that might be potential hosts for future waste facilities. As a result, substantial debate would be expected over any proposals to change the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, including those of the Blue Ribbon Commission.
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